

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/068,574	Applicant(s) BROWN et al
	Examiner KURT ROWAN	Art Unit 3643

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BARRY Kelmacher

(3) _____

(2) KURT ROWAN

(4) _____

Date of Interview 6-17-2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: /

Identification of prior art discussed:

Tyron

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Barry Kelmacher discussed the invention and the art of record. The examiner will look for structure to propose which would make the application allowable and also mentioned that a CIP be filed to put more structure into the specification.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Kurt Rowan
Examiner's signature, if required